

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,650	09/05/2006	Benoit Linglin	LINGLIN=2	1817
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			EXAMINER	
			NOORI, MAX H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2855	
			<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		114
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/591,650	LINGLIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Max Noori	2855
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/5/06.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4, 6, 9-12, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakao et al.

Regarding claims 1, 3, Nakao et al. al., discloses a load sensor (see the title), and method of manufacturing with features of the claimed invention including a metallic body and a ceramic insulating material applied to it (see claim 3). The ceramic material's Young modulus is lesser than the metal body (see claim 1).

Regarding claim 2, Nakao et al. al., teaches that the thickness of the ceramic material is less than 15 mm.

Regarding claim 4, the support is selected from same material (see paragraph 257).

Regarding claim 6, 14, Nakao et al. al., teaches the similar range of thickness (see calim1).

Regarding claims 9-12, the sensor is a weight senor (see paragraph 0001).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2855

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakao et al.

Regarding claims 5, 13, for an apparatus claim, Nakao et al., does not elaborate on the way the ceramic material is made, however, for an apparatus claim, the method of making an elements does not substantially contribute to the patentability of the claim. Therefore, it would have been obvious for a skilled artisan at the time of the invention to modify Nakao et al., to make any element in any way.

5. Claims 7-8, 15-16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakao et al., in view of Ormand or Anthoine-Mihomme et al.

Regarding these claims, due to his different application and design, Nakao et al., does not show an S form double cantilever. However, due to a specific application the load cell can assume the related shape and form. Moreover such configuration of strain gauge load cell is well known in the art. Ormand, or Anthoine-Mihomme et al., for example, is presented to show such arrangement. Ormand disclose a shear measurement flexure device, and Anthoine-Mihomme et al. discloses a self supporting weight sensor with features of the claimed invention teaching the use of S shaped load bar (see, for example, figure 6 of the references). Therefore, it would have been obvious for a skilled artisan at the time of the invention to modify Nakao et al., to provide for any desired shape for any desired intended use.

Art Unit: 2855

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Max H. Noori whose telephone number is (571) 272-2185. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 P.M.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2800. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. The central fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MHN Friday, December 28, 2007

\_ NAKNOOR!